

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I would like to make it very clear to the Hon'ble Member that there is no need for placing these rules before the House before they become effective, for the purpose of clause 4 is to make such rules "regulating all matters connected with or ancillary to the custody of, the payment of moneys into and the withdrawal of moneys from, the Contingency Fund." There is no other point involved in this.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Even that is governed by rules.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—In this clause there is nothing which would affect the rights of either the Hon'ble Member or any one else. It is a question purely connected with or ancillary to the custody of, the payment of moneys into and the withdrawal of moneys from, the Contingency Fund. In all such cases, for ratification they have to come before the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

'Re-number clause 4 as (1) and introduce sub-clause (2) as follows :

"(2) The rules so made shall be placed before both Houses of Legislature and shall come into force subject to changes, if any, made by the Legislature."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That Clause 4 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 4 was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1, Title and Preamble. The question is :

"That Clause 1, and the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore Contingency Fund Bill, 1957, be passed."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Mysore Contingency Fund Bill, 1957 be passed"

The motion was adopted.

MYSORE EXISTING LAWS (CONSTRUCTION OF REFERENCES TO VALUES) BILL, 1957.

Motion to consider.

Sri T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore Existing Laws (Construction of References to Values) Bill, 1957 be taken into consideration"

Sir, there is not much for me to explain except to say that this Bill is in connection with the introduction of the Naye Paise. This Bill enables us to adjust the old coins with the new coins.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Mysore Existing Laws (Construction of References to Values) Bill, 1957, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—As there are no amendments, I will put all the clauses to the House.

The question is :

"That Clauses 2, 3 and 1 and the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—I want to speak on clause 3.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is too late now. If the Hon'ble Member wanted to speak on clause 3 and if he had informed me that he wanted to speak on clause 3, I would not have put clause 3 along with other clauses. Now it is too late. However, if the Hon'ble Member still feels that he should speak on clause 3 I have no objection.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—I only want a clarification. The wording of clause 3 does not make the meaning quite clear. The marginal note to that clause says “construction of references to certain values in existing laws.” I would like to know what Government mean by the word “certain” here. The object of the Bill appears to provide for conversion of values expressed in rupees, annas, and pies into the new coins. So I cannot understand what is meant by the word “certain”.

Mr. SPEAKER.—As I understand, ‘certain values’ means its reference to annas and pies; it has no reference to rupees and rupees are excluded. That is why they have used the words ‘certain values’.

The question is :

“Clauses 2, 3, and 1, and the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2, 3 and 1 and the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Sir, I beg to move :

“That the Mysore Existing Laws (Construction of References to Values) Bill, 1957, be passed.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the Mysore Existing Laws (Construction of References to Values) Bill, 1957 be passed.”

The motion was adopted.

BOMBAY TENANCY (SUSPENSION OF PROVISIONS AND AMENDMENT), BILL 1957.

Sri M. P. PATIL (Minister for Revenue).—Sir, I beg to move :

“That the Bombay Tenancy (Suspension of Provisions and Amendment) Bill, 1957 be taken into consideration.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That the Bombay Tenancy (Suspension of Provisions and Amendment) Bill, 1957, be taken into consideration.”

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, as it is known to all, five separate parts have been integrated into the new Mysore State under the States Reorganisation Act. As a result, there were different Tenancy Acts in the different areas. For instance, in Bombay there was the Bombay Act No. 17 of 1948 in operation. In Mysore, the Mysore Tenancy Act was in operation. Similarly, in Madras and Hyderabad. Again, there was no Tenancy Act in Coorg. So, the Government examined the question and found that the tenancy rights under these various Acts differed from place to place. For instance, in Bombay the main features of the tenancy are the fixity of rent and security of tenure. In Bombay the maximum rent has been fixed as such rent that does not exceed five times the assessment or 20 rupees per acre whichever is less and it shall not be less than twice the assessment. But, in Mysore the maximum rent fixed is in some places a quarter of the total gross produce and in some places one-third of the gross produce. Similarly, in Madras the rent was fixed as about 40 per cent of the total produce. So, it would be seen from these figures that even in the case of rent, disparities were very wide in different areas. Similar was the case with regard to security of tenure. In Bombay, all the tenants were protected tenants and under the Amended Act all the protected tenants were to become the owners of those lands on April First on certain conditions. It is not necessary for me to mention all those conditions. They are all enumerated in the Act. But, on the whole, the tenants were to become the owners on First April under certain circumstances. But, in Mysore the fixity of tenure was only for five years and in some parts, the period of five years is to be over at the end of this month. Then there was no fixity of tenure in Madras. In Hyderabad there was fixity of tenure but not for all the